10:			-	PCT	(C		
	y Mahtani & De Silva	RECEIVE		WRITTEN OPINION	The state of the s		
39 Robins		A.T.M.D		(PCT Rule 66)			
	obinson Point ORE 068911	* ** *					
DIMOTIL		*05 MAY -3	Date of mailing (dàs/month/year)	27 APR 2005			
Applicant's	or agent's file reference	ethiothermanium paringhio (facino anachs	REPLY DUE	within TWO MONTHS			
L	02314/KC/mt			from the above date of mailing			
International Application No.		International Filing Da					
	003/000223	19 September 2003					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC							
Int. Cl. 7	H01L 21/4763, 21/285, 23	/36, 23/367, 23/373,	31/024, 31/052, 31	/18, H01S 5/024			
Applicant	CCI TECTIMOI ACITO DDI		-1				
1111	GGI TECHNOLOGIES PRI	VAIE LIMITED et	aı				
This sur	itten opinion is the first dra	wn by this Internations	al Preliminary Exami	ning Authority			
	inion contains indications relati	•	_	mig radionty.			
z. Imsop	Basis of the opinion	ng to the following iter	iis				
п	Priority						
	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
IV [Lack of unity of invention	2000 to 1.	• • • • •				
v [x	Keasoned statement under Kule explanations supporting such st		novelty, inventive step	or industrial applicability; citations and			
VI	Certain documents cited						
VII 🗏	Certain defects in the international application						
vm 🛱	Certain observations on the inte	mational application					
	AL DATE by which the internation cary 2006	nal preliminary examinati	ion report must be estab	olished according to Rule 69.2 is:			
	licant is hereby invited to reply	to this aninion					
4. The app When?		•	ıstralian Patent Office v	vill not establish the Report before the earli	erof		
, inchi	See the Reply Due date indicated above. However, the Australian Patent Office will not establish the Report before the earlier of (i) a response being filed, or (ii) one month before the Final Date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established. The Report will take into account any response (including amendments) filed before the Report is established.						
	the basis of this opinion.	th before the Final Date	, the international preh	minary examination report will be establish	led on		
	Applicants wishing to have the be			report is established should ensure that a nal preliminary examination report must be			
	established.						
How?	By submitting a written reply, acc For the form and the language of	the amendments, see Rul	es 66.8 and 66.9.	according to Rule 66.3.			
Also	For an additional opportunity to a For the examiner's obligation to c	onsider amendments and	or arguments, see Rule	66.4 <i>bis</i> .			
	For an informal communication w	vith the examiner, see Ru	le 66.6.	•			
	ling address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer		1		
	N PATENT OFFICE WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRAL	IA			1 1		
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au			RAJEEV DESHI	AUKH TE			
racsimile No.	(02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02)	628 2145			
MAX	·			21-805	7		
				F. JANDEN			

								7000220	
I.		Basis of the opini	ion					·	
1.	Wit	th regard to the elements of the international application:*							
	X	the international application as originally filed.							
		the description,	pages ,	as originally	filed,				
			'						
			pages ,	received on	with the letter of				
		the claims,	pages ,	as originally	filed,				
			. ~ ,		under Article 19,				
			pages,	filed with the	e demand,				
			pages ,	received on	with the letter of				
		the drawings,	pages ,	as originally	filed,				
			pages ,	filed with the	e demand,				
			pages ,	received on	with the letter of				
ر		the sequence list	ing part of t	he descriptio	n:				
		•	pages ,	as originally	filed				
}			pages ,	filed with th	e demand				
			pages ,	receïved on	with the letter of		•	•	
2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:								he language in	
		the language of a	translation	furnished for	the purposes of in	nternational search (under l	Rule 23.1(b)).		
the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).									
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).							
3.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written opinion was n on the basis of the sequence listing:						ritten opinion was	
		contained in the international application in printed form.							
· ·	\sqcap	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.							
	一	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.							
	同	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not international application as filed has been furnished.						ond the disclosu	ire in the	
•		The statement that been furnished.	t the inform	nation record	ed in computer rea	dable form is identical to t	the written seque	ence listing has	
4.		The amendments	have result	ed in the can	cellation of:				
		the descr	iption,	pages					
		the claim	ıs,	Nos.					
		the drawi	ings,	sheets/fig.					
5.		This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).							
	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed"								

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	1–53	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-53	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	153	YES
	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

US 6562648 B1 (WONG et al.) 13 May 2003—Column 4, lines 3-17; figures 1a-1g US 2003/0064535 A1 (KUB et al.) 3 April 2003—Page 2, paragraphs [0032]-[0035]; figures 1(a)-1(d) US 6448102 B1 (KNEISSL et al.) 10 September 2002—Column 2, lines 5-38; figures 11a-11f US 6210479 B1 (BOJARCZUK et al.) 3 April 2001—Column 3, line 18-column 4, line52; figures 1-6 EP 1326290 A2 (XEROX CORPORATION) 9 July 2003—Abstract; figure 2

INVENTIVE STEP (IS) CLAIMS 1-53

While the cited documents do not specifically disclose electroplating of a thick copper layer, they do disclose bonding of a thick metal layer on the side other than the sapphire substrate, and the subsequent removal of the sapphire substrate. KUB states that "Any number of bonding techniques may be used". KNEISSL states that "The laser diode array may be attached to a thermally conductive wafer before or after substrate removal by soldering, thermo-compression bonding or other means". Electroplating would be an obvious method to a person skilled in the art. Consequently the claims do not involve an inventive step.

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the claims of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

- 1. The claims as a whole are not clear and do not relate to a single invention. Independent claims 1, 29, 38, 43, 48 and 50 are each characterised by their own set of technical features. It is therefore not clear which technical features define the scope of the monopoly sought. Each independent claim appears to include technical features that are missing from another independent claim. It is therefore not clear whether such features are essential to defining the invention.
- 2. The claims are not fully supported by the description. Each independent claim lacks one or more technical features that appear to be essential to defining the invention.
- 3. The description relates to sapphire substrates and electroplating of thick copper layer. These essential features do not appear in the independent claims.